

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,983	UCHIDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Seungsook Ham	2817

All Participants:

(1) Seungsook Ham.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Michael K. Mutter.

(2) William Jung.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant and the examiner agreed to amend claim 10 for clarification which does not affect the scope of the claim.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)